A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing

Eventually, you will unconditionally discover a new experience and talent by spending more cash. nevertheless when? get you receive that you require to acquire those every needs next having significantly cash? Why don't you attempt to acquire something basic in the beginning? That's something that will guide you to comprehend even more or less the globe, experience, some places, afterward history, amusement, and a lot more?

It is your completely own epoch to perform reviewing habit. accompanied by guides you could enjoy now is a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing below.
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Original Article from The New England Journal of Medicine — A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial of Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care

A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Clinical Trial of ... Multicenter, randomized, escitalopram-controlled clinical trial was conducted at 6 hospitals in China. The trial duration per patient was 26 weeks: 2 weeks before randomization; 12 weeks of intervention; and 12 weeks (week 12-week 24) of follow-up without any intervention.
A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial of Rebamipide ...
Method: In an exploratory double-blind parallel-group trial, patients with schizophrenia were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive CBD (1000 mg/day; N=43) or placebo (N=45) alongside their existing antipsychotic medication. Participants were assessed before and after treatment using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia (BACS), the Global Assessment of Functioning scale (GAF), and the improvement and severity scales of the ...

Cannabidiol (CBD) as an Adjunctive Therapy in ...
A multicenter research trial is a clinical trial conducted at more than one medical center or clinic. Most large clinical trials, particularly Phase III trials, are conducted at several clinical research centers.

Multicenter trial - Wikipedia
Effects of exercise during chemotherapy on chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: a multicenter, randomized controlled trial Support Care Cancer. 2018 Apr;26(4):1019-1028. doi: 10.1007/s00520-017-4013-0. Epub 2017 Dec 14. Authors Ian R Kleckner 1 ...

Effects of exercise during chemotherapy on chemotherapy ...
2-arm, parallel, pragmatic, multi-centre, open-label randomized controlled trial to determine the effect of therapeutic anticoagulation, with low molecular weight heparin or unfractionated heparin (high dose nomogram), compared to standard care in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and an elevated D-dimer on the composite outcome of intensive care unit (ICU) admission, non-invasive positive ...

Coagulopathy of COVID-19: A Pragmatic Randomized ...
Methods: We conducted a multicenter randomized controlled trial that collected data on patient demographics, complication rates, Ankle Osteoarthritis Scale (AOS) and Short Form-36 (SF-36) scores. We evaluated pre and postoperative scores within and between cohorts. Results: The thirty-nine ankles enrolled had a mean follow-up of 5.1 ± 2.8 years. Total AOS scores improved significantly in both ...

Clinical outcome results of total ankle replacement and ...
at present there are no antiviral therapies of proven effectiveness in treating severely ill patients with COVID-19. A multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial (RCT) of hydroxychloroquine involving 150 adults admitted to hospital for COVID-19 reported no significant effect of the drug on accelerating viral clearance.

**Remdesivir in adults with severe COVID-19: a randomised ...**

Since the publication of the first case series from China, multiple observational studies have been published, some on preprint servers, reporting the association between convalescent plasma and reduced mortality, hospital stay, and viral load in patients with covid-19.8 9 10 11 12 Only two randomised controlled trials on convalescent plasma use in covid-19 have been published, one from China and the other from the Netherlands.13 14 Both were stopped prematurely—the China study because of ...

**Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 ...**

We did a multicenter, multi-blinded, randomized controlled trial after obtaining institutional review board approval. Participating centers included Memorial Hermann Hospital System and Lyndon Baines Johnson General Hospital in Houston, Texas.

**Robotic versus laparoscopic ventral hernia repair ...**

Mourey F, Sureja V, Kheni D, et al. - Researchers conducted this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to test the safety and effectiveness of Saccharomyces cerevisiae variant boulardii CNCM I-3799 (S. boulardii CNCM I-3799) in the management of acute diarrhea in children. According to the World Health Organization guidelines on the management of acute diarrhea in children, a ...

**A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo ...**
published large multi-center trials to determine the effects of early mobilization in ICU and little evidence to support the feasibility of individual patient randomization across multiple sites using early mobilization which is a complex ‘process-of-care’ intervention.[12, 13] In ICUs in Australia and New Zealand regular physiotherapy is a

**A binational multicenter pilot feasibility randomized ...**

A multicenter, prospective, randomized, parallel-controlled, double-blinded study for pain management after ambulatory surgery in adult patients was undertaken in 10 hospitals. Patients were screened at each center. The study was reported in accordance with the guidelines of the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT).

**Pain management after ambulatory surgery: a prospective ...**

A Multinational, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy of Cyclical Topical Wound Oxygen (TWO2) Therapy in the Treatment of Chronic Diabetic Foot Ulcers: The TWO2 Study Robert G. Frykberg1†, Peter J. Franks2, Michael Edmonds3,
A Multinational, Multicenter, Randomized, Double-Blinded...
In this 6-month randomized, multicenter trial, patients with type 1 diabetes were assigned in a 2:1 ratio to receive treatment with a closed-loop system (closed-loop group) or a sensor-augmented...

Six-Month Randomized, Multicenter Trial of Closed-Loop...
Randomised controlled trials are considered the gold standard for testing the efficacy of novel therapeutic interventions, and typically report the average treatment effect as a summary result. As the result of treatment can vary between patients, basing treatment decisions for individual patients on the overall average treatment effect could be suboptimal.

Redevelopment and validation of the SYNTAX score II to...
CAN-COVID is a Phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess the efficacy and safety of canakinumab plus standard of care (SoC) in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and cytokine release syndrome (CRS). Patients were hypoxic but not requiring intubation or invasive mechanical ventilation.

Novartis provides update on CAN-COVID trial in...
The trial adheres to the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional trials (SPIRIT) checklist (see Additional file 1). Study design. This study is designed as a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multicenter, phase IV clinical trial. Study objectives and endpoints

This book provides a comprehensive guide to the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinoma (shHCC) using a minimally invasive technique: radiofrequency ablation (RFA). RFA has emerged as a new treatment modality and become the main modality of locoregional therapy. Extensive clinical research indicates that RFA is as effective as surgical resection for shHCC, and it has the advantage of being less invasive. However, the outcomes after RFA are largely dependent on the operators’ experience—known as the “learning curve”. This book presents the characteristics of shHCC and discusses why shHCC is the best candidate for RFA. Then it introduces all the commercially available RFA systems, and their working principles, advantages, disadvantages and so on. It goes on to demonstrate how to perform RFA under the guidance of ultrasound, CT, laparoscopy, or during open operation. Finally, it discusses the radiologic assessment and follow-up after RFA, as well as adjuvant therapies and clinical trials on RFA. The authors are experts from the fields of pathology, radiology, surgery, and gastroenterology, as well as manufacturers. With this book, readers gain have a clear idea of when and how to do RFA. It aims to standardize and generalize the procedure of RFA, which
will be very helpful in improving the outcome of RFA for sHCC.

This User’s Guide is a resource for investigators and stakeholders who develop and review observational comparative effectiveness research protocols. It explains how to (1) identify key considerations and best practices for research design; (2) build a protocol based on these standards and best practices; and (3) judge the adequacy and completeness of a protocol. Eleven chapters cover all aspects of research design, including: developing study objectives, defining and refining study questions, addressing the heterogeneity of treatment effect, characterizing exposure, selecting a comparator, defining and measuring outcomes, and identifying optimal data sources. Checklists of guidance and key considerations for protocols are provided at the end of each chapter. The User’s Guide was created by researchers affiliated with AHRQ’s Effective Health Care Program, particularly those who participated in AHRQ’s DEcIDE (Developing Evidence to Inform Decisions About Effectiveness) program. Chapters were subject to multiple internal and external independent reviews. More more information, please consult the Agency website: www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov)

Praise for the First Edition “All medical statisticians involved in clinical trials should read this book...” - Controlled Clinical Trials Featuring a unique combination of the applied aspects of randomization in clinical trials with a nonparametric approach to inference, Randomization in Clinical Trials: Theory and Practice, Second Edition is the go-to guide for biostatisticians and pharmaceutical industry statisticians. Randomization in Clinical Trials: Theory and Practice, Second Edition features: Discussions on current philosophies, controversies, and new developments in the increasingly important role of randomization techniques in clinical trials A new chapter on covariate-adaptive randomization, including minimization techniques and inference New developments in restricted randomization and an increased focus on computation of randomization tests as opposed to the asymptotic theory of randomization tests Plenty of problem sets, theoretical exercises, and short computer simulations using SAS® to facilitate classroom teaching, simplify the mathematics, and ease readers’ understanding Randomization in Clinical Trials: Theory and Practice, Second Edition is an excellent reference for researchers as well as applied statisticians and biostatisticians. The Second Edition is also an ideal textbook for upper-undergraduate and graduate-level courses in biostatistics and applied statistics. William F.
Rosenberger, PhD, is University Professor and Chairman of the Department of Statistics at George Mason University. He is a Fellow of the American Statistical Association and the Institute of Mathematical Statistics, and author of over 80 refereed journal articles, as well as The Theory of Response-Adaptive Randomization in Clinical Trials, also published by Wiley. John M. Lachin, ScD, is Research Professor in the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics as well as in the Department of Statistics at The George Washington University. A Fellow of the American Statistical Association and the Society for Clinical Trials, Dr. Lachin is actively involved in coordinating center activities for clinical trials of diabetes. He is the author of Biostatistical Methods: The Assessment of Relative Risks, Second Edition, also published by Wiley.

Background and aimsSpinal Cord Stimulation (SCS) devices that enable personalized fine-tuning of stimulation parameters or waveforms offer the potential to address the variability among chronic pain patients. We endeavored to clinically investigate this system by evaluating the outcomes associated with use of multiple neurostimulation modalities as compared with conventional SCS settings alone in a prospective, randomized controlled trial.

Materials / Methods COMBO is a prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled trial with an adaptive design (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT03689920). The primary endpoint of the study is based on the proportion of subjects, permanently implanted with an SCS system capable of multiple neurostimulation modalities (Spectra WaveWriter, Boston Scientific), demonstrating $\geq 50\%$ reduction from Baseline in average overall pain intensity at 3-month follow up. Additional endpoints will assess quality of life, disability etc. Adverse events will also be collected. Results Real-world data utilizing the same SCS System demonstrated, in a cohort of 312 subjects, a statistically significant improvement in overall targeted pain scores (NRS) at last follow up (7.3 [baseline] to 2.1 [last follow-up with mean 106 days]). This improvement was also noted at 3 and 12 months follow-up (p

Background and Goal of Study: Goal directed hemodynamic therapy (GDHT) has been associated with a reduction complication rates after major surgery. The aim of the study was to evaluate the postoperative complications in patients undergoing major elective surgery using GDHT guided by measures stroke volume (SV), mean arterial pressure (MAP) and cardiac index (CI) by esophageal Doppler monitoring (EDM) through administering fluids, inotropes and vasopressors.

Materials and methods: Prospective, multicenter, randomized, unfunded controlled trial (ISRCTN93543537). After ethical committee approval and written informed consent were obtained, we enrolled adult ASA IIII patients scheduled for elective major surgery (gastrointestinal, urological, gynaecological and orthopaedic). Randomization and allocation to trial group were carried out by a central computer system. In the control
group (CG), intraoperative fluid therapy was administered according to conventional practice. In the GDHT group (GG), the intraoperative goals were to maintain and optimal SV, a MAP >70mmHg, and a CI >= 2.5 L/min/m². Complications and Outcome data were recorded up to 180 days postoperatively. Primary outcome was postoperative complications. The qualitative variables are described frequency distribution and quantitative in mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR), if asymmetry. Study groups were compared according to the recommendations of the CONSORT standards. The study was completed by low recruitment. Results and discussion: 450 patients were randomized to the GG (n=224 patients) or to the CG (n=226 patients). 428 were analyzed. The number of complications was significantly lower in the GG (56 complications vs. 198 complications, p